

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**IN RE APPLICATION OF:
ACAR ET.AL.**

SERIAL NO. : 10/789,037

FILED: FEB. 27, 2004

**FOR: NONRESONANT
MICROMACHINED
GYROSCOPES WITH
STRUCTURAL MODE-
DECOUPLING**

Examiner: John Chapman

Group Art Unit: 2856

**APPLICANT'S RECORD OF INTERVIEW
37 CFR 1.133**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In reply to the Interview Summary of the Office mailed on Aug. 29, 2007 the applicant records the interview as follows.


1. A proposed amendment after final was provided to the Examiner by email. The amendment was not filed nor entered.
2. The Examiner's identification of claims is correct.
3. No prior art of record was discussed. The interview was restricted to 112 issues only.
4. The proposed amendments in the amendment after final referenced in paragraph 1 above were partially discussed, but were indicated by the Examiner as not enterable.
5. The general thrust of the arguments presented to the Examiner focused on the

objections under 112 in the Examiner's last office action.

6. Continued prosecution under an RCE was discussed. No other pertinent matter was discussed.

7. No agreements were reached in the interview.

Respectfully submitted,



Daniel L. Dawes
Registration No. 27123
Myers Dawes Andras & Sherman LLP
19900 MacArthur Blvd., 11th Floor
Irvine, CA 92612
(949) 223-9600